# Peer review form

## Introduction

Thank you in advance for reviewing this article. Please be mindful that you belong to a community of scholars, educators, and practitioners who devote energy to sharing knowledge. Always be respectful and professional when providing feedback. We thank you for offering your time and expertise:

* Provide a response and score for each of the sections.
* Kindly use concrete examples when offering criticism and feedback
* Please do not offer advice or criticism regarding styles or formatting.
* Each category is scored on a range of 0 to 5 points. (0 = Very Poor, 1 = Poor, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Above Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Very Good)
* If you have any questions, you can communicate with a Publishing Admin via the email invest@iainponorogo.ac.id.

## Thematic Focus and Empirical Grounding

When considering the Thematic Focus and Empirical Grounding, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.

* Is this a topic that needs addressing?
* Is the area investigated by the article: significant? timely? important? in need of addressing because it has been neglected? intrinsically interesting? filling a gap in current knowledge?
* Are data collection processes, textual analyses, or exegeses of practice sufficient and adequate to answer the research questions?
* Does the article adequately document, acknowledge, and reference the existing findings, research, practices, and literature in its field?
* Does the article relate in a coherent and cogent way with issues of real-world significance?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Conceptual Model

When considering the Conceptual Model, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.

* Are the main concepts or categories appropriate to the investigation?
* Should other concepts or categories have been considered?
* Are key concepts adequately defined? Are they used consistently?
* Does the article make necessary or appropriate connections with existing theory?
* Does the article develop, apply, and test a coherent and cogent theoretical position or conceptual model?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Explanatory Logic

When considering the Explanatory Logic, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.

* How effectively does the article reason from its empirical reference points?
* Are the conclusions drawn from the data, texts, sources, or represented objects clear and insightful? Do they effectively advance the themes that the article sets out to address?
* Does the article demonstrate a critical awareness of alternative or competing perspectives, approaches, and paradigms?
* Is the author conscious of his or her own premises and perhaps the limitations of his or her perspectives and knowledge-making processes?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Implications and Applications

When considering the Implications and Applications, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.

Does the article demonstrate the direct or indirect applicability, relevance, or effectiveness of the practice or object it analyzes?

* Are its implications practicable?
* Are its recommendations realistic?
* Does the article make an original contribution to knowledge?
* To what extent does it break new intellectual ground?
* Does it suggest innovative applications?
* What are its prospects for broader applicability or appreciation?
* How might its vision for the world be realized more widely?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Quality of Communication

When considering the Quality of Communication, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.

* Is the focus of the article clearly stated (for instance, the problem, issue, or object under investigation; the research question; or the theoretical problem)?
* Does the article clearly express its case, measured against the standards of the technical language of its field and the reading capacities of audiences academic, tertiary students, and professionals?
* What is the standard of the writing, including spelling and grammar?
* If necessary, please make specific suggestions or annotate errors in the text.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Publishing Recommendation

Please submit your publishing recommendation below.

**Aggregate Score**:

**Recommendation:**